1 2 3 4 5	JOHN R. GRELE, State Bar No. 167080 Law Offices of John R. Grele 149 Natoma St., 3rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 348-9300 JEANNIE R. STERNBERG, State Bar No. 79353 MIRO F. CIZIN, State Bar No. 171378 CRISTINA BORDÉ, State Bar No. 195464 HABEAS CORPUS RESOURCE CENTER	
6 7	303 Second Street, Suite 400 South San Francisco, California 94107 Telephone: (415) 348-3800 Facsimile: (415) 348-3873	
8 9	Attorneys for JOSE ARNALDO RODRIGUES	
10 11	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
12	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION	
13		
14	JOSE ARNALDO RODRIGUES,	CASE NO. 4:96-cv-01831-CW
15	Petitioner,	DEATH PENALTY CASE
16	v. ()	PETITIONER'S FORTY-SECOND STATUS REPORT RE: <i>ATKINS</i> PROCEEDINGS;
17 18	ROBERT K. WONG, Warden of the California) State Prison at San Quentin,	REQUEST FOR STATUS CONFERENCE
19	Respondent.	
20		
21	TO: THE HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE FOR THE	
22	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA:	
23	Pursuant to this Court's order of September 25, 2002, petitioner files his Forty-Second Status	
24 25	Report Re: Atkins proceedings.	
26	On September 9, 2009, the California Supreme Court issued an Order to Show Cause, returnable to the San Mateo County Superior Court, on the <i>Atkins</i> claim raised in petitioner's Petition	
27	for Writ of Habeas Corpus. On February 8, 2010, upon stipulation of the San Mateo County District	
28	Attorney and counsel for petitioner, the Honorable Phrasel L. Shelton, judge of the San Mateo	
	PETITIONER'S 42ND STATUS REPORT RE: ATKINS PROCEEDINGS CASE NO. 4:96-CV-01831-CW	

Case4:96-cv-01831-CW Document299 Filed05/03/10 Page2 of 7

Superior Court (retired), granted the habeas corpus petition finding that petitioner sustained his burden of proof that he is mentally retarded within the meaning of Atkins, vacated petitioner's sentence of death, and sentenced petitioner to life imprisonment without the possibility of parole. After the filing of the last status report, counsel learned that Judge Shelton approved and signed the parties' stipulation, and counsel received a filed-stamped copy of that order, a copy of which is attached to this status report.

Mr. The Superior Court's order does not resolve fully the pending § 2254 petition. Rodrigues's Amended Petition, which remains pending before this Court, alleges constitutional violations that were not resolved by the state court's resolution pursuant to Atkins. The penalty phase relief granted by petitioner's resentence to life without parole resolves several, but not all, of the claims in the Amended Petition. In light of the above, petitioner requests that this Court set the matter for a status conference, with the parties to submit a Joint Status Report prior to that conference.

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Dated: May 3, 2010

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

14

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN R. GRELE, ESQ.

JEANNIE R. STERNBERG, ESQ.

Counsel for Petitioner Jose A. Rodrigues

DISTRICT ATTORNEY JAMES P. FOX, State Bar No. 45169 1 ALBERT ANTHONY SERRATO, State Bar No 122586 OFFICE OF THE SAN MATEO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY 2 400 County Center, Third Floor 3 Redwood City, CA 94062 FILED Telephone: (650) 363-4636 4 Facsimile: (650) 363-4873 FEB - 8 2000 5 Attorneys for the People of the State of California 6 JEANNIE R. STERNBERG, State Bar No. 79353 MIRO F. CIZIN State Bar No. 171378 CRISTINA BORDE, State Bar No. 195464 8 HABEAS CORPUS RESOURCE CENTER 303 Second Street, Suite 400 South 9 San Francisco, California 94107 Telephone: (415) 348-3800 10 Facsimile: (415) 348-3873 11 JOHN R GRELE, State Bar No. 167080 12 149 Natoma Street, Third Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 13 Telephone: (415) 348-9300 Facsimile: (415) 348-0364 14 15 Attorneys for Jose Arnaldo Rodriguez 16 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA **17** FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO 18 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA **CAPITAL CASE** 19 San Mateo County Superior Court No. SC-20 ٧. 18140-01 21 JOSE ARNALDO RODRIGUES Related to California Supreme Court No. 22 S133554 In re JOSE ARNALDO RODRIGUEZ, 23 [PROPOSED] STIPULATION AND ORDER On Habeas Corpus VACATING SENTENCE OF DEATH 24 25 Petitioner Jose Arnaldo Rodriguez, through his counsel of record, and the People of the 26 State of California, through the Office of the District Attorney of San Mateo County, hereby 27 28 STIPULATION AND ORDER VACATING SENTENCE OF DEATH

stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. On July 25, 1988, Mr. Rodriguez¹ was convicted of first degree murder with special circumstances and, on October 21, 1988, was sentenced to death in San Mateo County, Court Case No. SC-18140-01.
- 2. On February 13, 2003, Petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus in the California Supreme Court, Case No. S113554, seeking relief from the sentence of death on the ground that he is mentally retarded within the meaning of *Atkins v. Virginia*, 536 U.S. 304 (2002).
- 3. In support of this Petition, Petitioner submitted 94 exhibits, including: records of IQ and achievement testing which occurred in 1965, 1970, 1987, and 1994; educational, institutional, medical, mental health, criminal justice, and juvenile records; declarations from family, friends, teachers, acquaintances, former attorneys, and former juvenile probation or parole officers; and declarations of psychologists, psychiatrists, and other mental health professionals who evaluated Mr. Rodriguez at the time of trial and during post-conviction proceedings.
- 4. On September 9, 2009, the California Supreme Court issued an Order directing the Director of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to show cause in the San Mateo County Superior Court why Petitioner's death sentence should not be vacated and Petitioner sentenced to life imprisonment without the possibility of parole on the ground that he is mentally retarded within the meaning of Atkins v. Virginia, as alleged in paragraph XVII of the petition for writ of habeas corpus. The Order denied on the merits all other claims set forth in the petition for writ of habeas corpus.

The correct spelling of Mr. Rodriguez's surname is with a "z" at the end. However, because his surname was spelled with an "s" during all trial proceedings, the parties have included that spelling in the case caption so it will mirror the title of the case at trial.

- 5. The materials filed and provided by Petitioner were examined by Dr. Daniel Martell, a qualified mental health professional acting on behalf of the San Mateo County District Attorney's Office.
- 6. Based on the evidence presented by Petitioner, and the opinion of Dr. Martell, the People believe that a substantial risk exists that Petitioner will be found, based on the evidence accumulated to date, to have sustained his burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence that he is mentally retarded within the meaning of Atkins. The parties believe it is in the interests of justice to avoid further litigation and implement the mandates of Atkins, In re Hawthorne 35 Cal.4th 40 (2005), and California Penal Code section 1376.
- 7. Based on the foregoing considerations and the state of the evidence, the People choose not to show cause why Mr. Rodriguez's death sentence should not be vacated and Mr. Rodriguez sentenced to life imprisonment without the possibility of parole.
- 8. Mr. Rodriguez's sentence of death should be vacated and he should be sentenced to life imprisonment without the possibility of parole. This sentencing is not intended to, and shall not have any effect on the sentence imposed on Mr. Rodriguez for his convictions on Counts II through IV of the Amended Information.
- 9. Upon entry of an order vacating Petitioner's sentence of death and imposing a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole, neither party will appeal any order in the proceeding on the Order to Show Cause issued by the California Supreme Court, Case No. S113554, except such appeals or other proceedings as may be related to enforcement of the terms of this Stipulation.
- 10. The signatories to this Stipulation have full authority to enter into this Stipulation on behalf of all parties and their counsel in San Mateo Superior Court No. SC-18140-01 and California

Supreme Court No. S113554, and to bind the respective parties. 1 IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED. 2 DATED: February 4, 2010 HABEAS CORPUS RESOURCE CENTER 3 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN R. GRELE 4 5 6 Counsel for Petitioner Jose Arnaldo Rodkiguez 7 8 SAN MATEO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY DATED: February 5, 2010 9 10 11 By: Albert A. Serrato, Deputy District Attorney Counsel for the People of the State of California 12 13 14 ORDER 15 This matter is before the Court as a result of the Order to Show Caused issued by the 16 California Supreme Court on September 9, 2009 in California Supreme Court Case No. S113554 17 18 and made returnable to this Court. Pursuant to the foregoing Stipulation and Agreement by the 19 parties, and for good cause appearing, the Court finds and orders: 20 Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, California Supreme Court Case No. 1. 21 S113554 is hereby GRANTED. 22 Petitioner has sustained his burden of proof, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he is 23 2. mentally retarded within the meaning of Atkins v. Virginia 536 U.S. 304(2002), In re Hawthorne, 24 25 35 Cal.4th 40 (2005) and California Penal Code section 1376. **26** Petitioner's sentence of death is hereby VACATED. 3. 27 28

Pursuant to California Penal Code section 1376, Petitioner is SENTENCED in People v. Jose Arnaldo Rodrigues, San Mateo Superior Court Case No SC-18140-01 to life imprisonment without the possibility of parole. IT IS SO ORDERED. FEB 0 8 2010 DATED: _ JUDGE (RET.) OF THE SUPERIOR COURT